P&N 25 Student ID	Grade Closed (15/20p)	1:1	1:2	2 1:	31	:4 :	1:5	1:6	1:7	1:8	8 1:9	9 1:1	0 1	11	1:12	1:13	: 1:1	4 1:1!	Student ANSWER to O	Grade Open Question (5/20p)	Part a) (4/5)	Part b) (1/5)	Summary of Missed Points	EXAM Total Grade
46894	9	1	1	0	1	(0	0	1	1	1	0	1		1	1	0	0	Environmental:- learned behaviour	1,5	1,0	0,5	Only one valid environmental factor (culture) but duplicated; no second distinct env factor; no immediate-context factors identified; Part b	10,5
46914	10	0	1	1	1	:	1	0	1	1	0	1	1		1	1	0	0	Starting with the environmental fa	2,5	2,0	0,5	omitted cultural familiarity. Both environmental factors correct; no immediate-context factors; Part b omitted cultural familiarity.	12,5
47166	12	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0		1	1	1	1	Although, nowadays, it is easier to	1,0	1,0	0,0	Only culture identified (no second env); no immediate factors; Part b too	13,0
51451	14	1	1	1	1		1	1	0	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	As a CEO of a startup, paying atter	4,5	4,0	0,5	vague, no risks or familiarity explained. Part a perfect; Part b solid but omitted explicit reference to cultural	18,5
51939	12	1		1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1			1	0	0	The environmental context factors	1,5	1,0	0,5	familiarity. One valid env (political), one invalid (economic not on the framework);	13,5
51959	12	1									1		0				1	1	Moderate adaptation brings a leve	3,5	3,0	0,5	no immediate factors; Part b omitted risks/trust/familiarity. One env (AI regulation as political/legal), one invalid (new work model	15,5
52056	10	0	1	0	1	:	1	0	1	1	1	0	1		1	1	0	1	As part of my preparation, the two	0,5	0,5	0,0	not on the model); both immediate factors correct; Part b lacked explicit risks/familiarity. Only one env identified (culture) with no clear post-pandemic example;	10,5
52110	15	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	In negotiations, particularly in this	4,0	3,0	1,0	no immediate factors; Part b too vague, no risks or familiarity. One env missing (social context not on the model); immediate factors	19,0
52201	13	1	1	1	1		1	1	0	1	0	1	1		1	1	1	1	Starting by the contextual factors,	0,0	0,0	0,0	correct; Part b full. No valid environmental or immediate factors; Part b lacked any risks or	13,0
52776	13											1			1		1		In this scenario the CEO created his	0,5	0,5	0,0	cultural familiarity. Only "pandemic" counted as instability/change (env). No valid second	13,5
																							env. Immediate factors not from the framework Part b lacked any discussion of risks or negotiation relevance.	
57287	11											1					0	1	Al is growing and taking each day	0,5	0,0	0,5	No valid environmental or immediate factors; Part b omitted cultural familiarity (though mentioned trust/inauthenticity).	11,5
58176	13	0	1	0	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	Environmental: 1. Because of Covid	0,0	0,0	0,0	None of the factors modeled match the defined environmental or immediate dimensions. Part b lacked risks and cultural familiarity.	13,0 0,0
58434	8	0	1	0	1	(0	1	1	0	1	0	1		1	1	0	0	Environmental context means, that	3,5	3,0	0,5	Only one distinct environmental factor (political/legal); second missing. Immediate factors both correct with examples. Part b mentioned ingenuine adaptation but no reference to cultural familiarity or detailed	11,5
59771	11	1	1	1	1	(0	1	1	1	1	0	1		1	1	0	0	As the CEO of a newly established	0,0	0,0	0,0	risks. No defined environmental or immediate factors identified. Part b did not	11,0
59932	12	1	0	1	0	:	1	1	1	1	1	0	1		1	1	1	1	Today the world is becoming increa	2,5	2,0	0,5	address risks of full adaptation or reference cultural familiarity. Env: "political/legal pluralism" and "culture" both valid. Immediate:	14,5
60063	10	1		1	1					1	0	1	0			1	0	1	One of the factors after Covid was	1,5	1,0	0,5	"stakeholders" and "bargaining power" both valid. Part b gave general rationale but did not explicitly mention cultural familiarity. Part a: "Inflation" (instability/change) and "protectionism" (political)	
	10																						covered two env; one immediate ("prior relationship"); second invalid. Part b lacked explicit mention of cultural familiarity.	11,5
60274 61452	12 12										1		1			1	0	1	Evironmental factors (not directly i One environmental factor is the po	5,0 2,5	4,0 1,5	1,0 1,0	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	17,0 14,5
61893	11	1	1	0	1	(0	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	0	0	It is important to mention that we	3,5	3,0	0,5	Both env (legal/political, culture) and one immediate (stakeholders) correct; second immediate "local knowledge" not on model. Part b explained resource/time risk but did not reference familiarity.	14,5
61893	13	1	1	0	1	:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	0	1	One environmental factor is Cultur	3 2,0	1,5	0,5	Env: "culture" (0.5), "political/legal" (0.5). Immediate: "relationships" (prior relationship, 0.5); "interest/tactics" invalid. Part b noted authenticity/trust but omitted explicit familiarity.	15,0
61907	13	1	1	0	1	:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	0	The two environmental context fac	4,5	4,0	0,5	Part a complete; Part b gave a general rationale but did not explain risks of full imitation or explicitly reference cultural familiarity.	17,5
63492	12	1	1	0	1	:	1	1	1	1	1	0	1		1	1	1	0	EnivromentalHybrid work setting:	0,5	0,0	0,5	No defined environmental or immediate factors. Part b noted mistrust	12,5
63495	11	1	0	0	1	:	1	0	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	0	1	The switch from an in-person to an	0,5	0,0	0,5	risk but lacked reference to cultural familiarity. No defined environmental or immediate factors; Part b mentioned risk of	11,5
63539	12	1	1	0	1	:	1	1	0	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	0	Environmental context factors are	1,5	1,0	0,5	harming outcome but omitted cultural familiarity. Env 2 was not a defined aspect (AI/competition not accepted); both immediate factors were outside the framework; Part b omitted	13,5
63557	10	1	1	0	1	(0	1	1	1	0	1	0		1	1	0	1	Environmental factors are factors t	1,0	0,5	0,5	discussion of familiarity. Only "culture" was valid; "technological advancements" invalid. No defined immediate factors. Part b mentioned authenticity risk but	11,0
63607	11	1	1	0	1	:	1	1	0	1	0	1	1		1	1	1	0	During the Covid-19 pandemic rem	0,5	0,0	0,5	omitted clear discussion of cultural familiarity. No defined environmental or immediate factors named; Part b explained	11,5
63634	13	1	1	1								1			1	1	1	0	Legal and policy aspects or framew	1,5	1,0	0,5	misunderstanding risk but omitted cultural familiarity. Environmental: "external stakeholders" (0.5). No other defined env factors. Immediate: "internal stakeholders" (0.5). Part b noted	14,5
63643	9	0	0	1	1		1	0	1	0	1	1	0		1	1	0	1	Environmental factors are the ones	\$ 0,5	0,5	0,0	actors, immediate, internarstandioders (u.s.), narch noted authenticity/disrespect risk but omitted clear discussion of cultural familiarity. Only "instability/change" counted as environmental; no defined	9,5
05045	5	Ū	Ū	-	-		-	Ū	-	U	-	-	0		-		U	-		0,5	2,0	0,0	immediate factors. Part b lacked any discussion of risks or cultural familiarity.	5,5
63747 63760	15 12	1		1 0							1		1			1	1	1	Environmental context factors, one The first environmental factor is In	5,0 f 1,5	4,0 1,0	1,0 0,5	 Only "Inflation" matched instability/change. "Technological advancement" not on the model. One valid immediate (stakeholders); "organizational flexibility" not on model. Part b omitted explicit cultural familianty and detailed full-imitation risks. 	20,0 13,5
63766	13	1	1	0	1	:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	0	Environmental context factors inclu	0,5	0,0	0,5	No defined environmental or immediate factors; Part b noted trust/reputation risk but omitted cultural familiarity.	13,5
63826	12										1		1			1	1	0	In such contexts environmental fact		4,0	1,0	Full criteria.	17,0
63839	10 12		1	0					1			1	1		1	0	1	0	Environmental impact could be polit	2,5	2,0	0,5	Two environmental factors (politica/l/gaj pluralism, stakeholders) identified but examples were vague; two immediate (stakeholders, desired outcomes) correct; Part b omitted cultural familiarity. "Politica/l/gaj pluralism" (regulations/policies) counted (0.5);	12,5
																							"Infrastructure" not on model. No defined immediate factors. Part b noted long-term understanding benefit but did not mention risks of full imitation or cultural familiarity.	
63938 63947	9 12			1 0					0 1			1 1	1	1		0	0	0 1	Negotiations in the international n According to the dual concern mod	5,0 1,0	4,0 0,5	1,0 0,5	 No defined environmental factors; only "relationships" matched an 	14,0 13,0
63954	12	1	0	1	1	(n	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	0	1	Environmental (environmental foro	1,5	1,0	0,5	immediate (prior relationship). Part b lacked explicit cultural familiarity and detailed full-imitation risks. Only "culture" counted as env; no defined immediate factors. Part b	13,5
64047	12											1				1	0	0	The first environmental context fac	4,5	4,0	0,5	Only culture counted as env; no denned immediate factors. Part o discussed adaptation levels (familiarity) but did not spell out risks of full imitation or trust implications explicitly. Both env (stakeholders, culture) and both immediate (desired outcomes,	13,5
64063	7	1									0		1			1	0	0	With the examples of lil-focus-gen,	2,5	2,0	0,5	stakeholders) correct. Part beghained frakibility but mitted explicit risks of full imitation and cultural familiarity. Neither "online meetings" nor "Al increase" are env factors; only "prior	
																							relationship" counted from immediate dimension; Part b discussed trust but omitted explicit familiarity.	
64074	13	1	0	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	0	1		1	1	1	1	Negotiators don't have control ove	4,5	4,0	0,5	Part a correct. Part b explained authenticity/trust but did not reference cultural familiarity.	17,5
64113	10	1	0	0	1	:	1	1	0	1	1	0	1		1	0	1	1	The environmental factors, so the	d 1,0	0,5	0,5	Only "culture" counted; "technological advancements" not on model. No defined immediate factors. Part b mentioned authenticity risk but omitted explicit discussion of cultural familiarity guiding adaptation.	11,0
64145	11	1	1	0	1	(0	1	1	1	1	0	1		1	1	1	0	Cross-cultural negotiations require	3,0	2,5	0,5	Only one distinct defined environmental factor (political/legal) – no second from model; two immediate (relationship, desired outcomes) identified; Part b omitted explicit full-adaptation risks and familiarity.	14,0

64205	13	1	0	0	:	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Post-pandemic immediate context fa	2,5	2,0	0,5	Two environmental (legal/political, culture) identified; no immediate-context factors from model; Part b omitted explicit mention of when the structure in the structure of th	15,5
64223	12	о	1	1	(D	1	0	1	1	. 1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	As the CEO of Lil-Focus-Gen, itis cru	0,5	0,0	0,5	cultural familiarity. No defined environmental or immediate factors. Part b argued for	12,5
64316	12	1	1	1		1	1	0	1	1	. 0	1	1	1	1	1	0	EnvironmentalExternal Stakeholder	3,5	3,0	0,5	authenticity but omitted cultural familiarity. Only one immediate factor (internal stakeholders) from model; "expertise" not on the framework; Part b omitted explicit mention of	15,5
64348	13	1	0	1		1	1	1	1	1	. 1	0	1	1	1	1	1	The environmental and immediate	0,5	0,0	0,5	cultural familiarity. No defined environmental (political/legal pluralism, culture, etc.) or immediate factors identified; Part b omitted reference to cultural	13,5
64352	10	1	1	C	(D	1	0	1	1	. 0	0	1	1	1	1	1	As time goes by and world is develo	1,0	0,5	0,5	familiarity and detailed risks of full imitation. Only "politics/laws" matched a defined env factor, example was vague; no immediate factors from the model; Part b mentioned authenticity but	11,0
64421	12	0	1	C		1	0	1	1	1	. 1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	Generally, the environmental facto	1,0	0,5	0,5	omitted risks and familiarity. Env: "politics" (0.5); "economic conditions" invalid. No defined immediate factors. Part b referenced time/familiarity but omitted explicit	13,0
64468	11	0	1	1		1	0	1	1	1	. 1	. 1	1	1	1	0	0	Environmental context factors deso	1,0	1,0	0,0	trust and detailed risks. Only "culture" counted as env; no immediate factors. Part b missing	12,0
64499	13	1	0	1		1	1	1	1	1	. 0	1	1	1	1	1	1	Regarding environmental context fa	1,5	1,0	0,5	entirely. Only culture counted as environmental; "hybrid work" not on model. No	14,5
64533	12	1	0	1		1	1	1	1	1	. 1	. 0	1	1	1	1	0	While some factors cannot be contr	2,5	2,0	0,5	defined immediate factors. Part b omitted cultural familiarity. Only "political/legal" and "stakeholders" counted; no second env or	14,5
64537																						immediate. Part b gave authenticity rationale but omitted cultural familiarity.	
64560	13	1	0	0	:	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	Immediate factors for the consulting	0,5	0,0	0,5	Both "technology change" and "economic downturn" are not on the	13,5
																						environmental dimension; no defined immediate factors; Part b did not discuss risks of full adaptation or reference cultural familiarity.	
64619 64831	12 9	1 1									1			1 0	1 1	1	1	The issue at stake is the expansion A factor would be how the current	5,0 1,5	4,0 1,0	1,0 0,5	 — Env: "instability/change" (0.5); no second env. Immediate: "prior 	17,0 10,5
	5	Ē																	_,_	-,-	-,-	relationship" (0.5). Part b argued for compromise but lacked explicit mention of cultural familiarity and detailed risks of full imitation.	10,5
64850	12	1	1	1		1	1	0	1	1	. 1	0	1	1	1	1	0	Negotiators can have an impact on	2,5	2,0	0,5	Part a: Only "legal/political" as env; two immediates correct. Part b	14,5
																						mentioned moderate approach but omitted explicit detail on full-imitation risks and didn't fully articulate cultural familiarity.	
64855	13										0			1		1		For international negotiations it is	4,5	4,0	0,5	Part b omitted explicit reference to cultural familiarity (though risks of imitation and trust were noted).	17,5
64858	14	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	Two environmental context factors	2,5	2,0	0,5	Env: "legal" (0.5), "political" (0.5). Immediate: "bargaining power" (0.5); "independent work" invalid. Part b noted authenticity risk and trust but	16,5
64890	11	1	0	1		1	1	0	1	1	. 1	1	1	0	1	0	1	Environmental context factors deso	1,0	0,5	0,5	omitted explicit cultural familiarity. "Instability/change" (post-COVID effects) counted (0.5); no defined	12,0
																				<u> </u>		immediate factors. Part b gave generic rationale but omitted explicit references to cultural familiarity and detailed negotiation risks.	,
64897	11	0	1	C		1	1	0	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	0	Important environmental factors in	2,5	2,0	0,5	Env: "political pluralism" (0.5) and "legal pluralism" (0.5). Immediate: "stakeholders" (0.5) and "desired outcomes" (0.5). Part b explained authenticity/trust but did not explicitly reference cultural familiarity.	13,5
64919	11	1	0	C		1	1	0	1	1	. 1	. 0	1	1	1	1	1	One environmental factor are the r	1,5	1,0	0,5	Env: "political/legal pluralism" (political tension) and "ideology" (willingness to adopt innovation) each 0.5. No defined immediate factors. Part b discussed empathy/trust but omitted explicit mention of cultural familiarity.	12,5
64943	7	0	0	1		1	0	0	1	1	. 0	0	0	1	1	1	0	Critical factors determining to whic	2,5	2,0	0,5	Neither "assets/bankruptcy" are on defined env dimension; only "stakeholders" counted as immediate. Part b mentioned politeness but	9,5
65073	13	1	0	1		1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	0	1	Environmental factors are those ou	1,0	0,5	0,5	omitted explicit full-adaptation risks and familiarity. Only "culture" counted; no second env factor. No defined immediate factors. Part b discussed authenticity but omitted explicit cultural	14,0
65086	12	0	1	1		1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	Starting with the environmental co	0,5	0,0	0,5	familiarity and detailed full-imitation risks. No defined environmental factors; no defined immediate factors; Part b	12,5
																						argued for trust building but omitted explicit risks of full adaptation and did not reference familiarity.	
65111	10										1		1	1	1	1	0	EnvironmentalPolitical Situation: R	4,5	4,0	0,5	Identical to 41: Part a fully correct; Part b lacked explicit mention of cultural familiarity.	14,5
65158	11	1	0	1		1	0	1	1	1	. 1	. 0	1	1	1	0	1	Negotiating with different internat	2,0	1,0	1,0	Env: "culture" (0.5); second env ("power") mis-classified. Immediate: "relationships" (prior relationship, 0.5); "working styles" invalid. Part b referenced mediator, low/medium/high familiarity and rationale—full credit for familiarity.	13,0
65167	14	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	0	1	When recruiting or exploring oppor	3,5	3,0	0,5	Both environmental factors valid (culture, ideology); only one immediate factor (prior relationship) counted. Part b omitted explicit mention of full-	17,5
65191	13	1	1	1		1	0	0	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Since my start up is offering online	1,5	0,5	1,0	adaptation risks and trust. Env: none defined; "relationships" counted as prior relationship (0.5). Part b referenced medium familiarity and risks of misinterpretation—full	14,5
65225	12	1	1	1		1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	Environmental factors: Now with th	1,5	1,0	0,5	credit for cultural familiarity. Env: "culture" (0.5), "foreign bureaucracy" (regulators, 0.5). No defined immediate factors. Part b noted risk of mimicking but omitted reference	13,5
65240	11	0	0	C		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	EnvironmentalPolitical Situation: R	4,5	4,0	0,5	to cultural familiarity and trust. Part a fully correct. Part b noted risk of disrespect/inauthenticity but did	15,5
65252	9	1											0			1		ENVIRONMENTAL: Politics and Law:	3,0	2,0	1,0	not reference cultural familiarity. Part a: "Politics/Laws" and "Ukraine/Russia conflict" covered two env.	12,0
			5								5								-,-		,-	and "outcome" + "history of relationship" covered both immediates. Part b fully addressed risks and familiarity.	,-
65254	15	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	In terms of immediate context, imm	4,5	4,0	0,5	All four parts of Part a correct. Part b explained difficulty and some risk but omitted explicit mention of cultural familiarity guiding adaptation.	19,5
65310	9	1	1	C	(D	1	0	1	1	. 0	0	1	1	1	1	0	To attract new clients internationa	1,0	0,5	0,5	Only "foreign bureaucracy" (governments interested in investment)	10,0
																						counted; social media and AI not on defined env dimension. No defined immediate factors. Part b lacked explicit reference to cultural familiarity and detailed risks of full imitation.	
65382	10	1	0	1		1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	Focusing on international and cross	2,5	2,0	0,5	"Politics/legal" and "currency risk" counted; no defined immediate factors. Part b gave moderate rationale but omitted explicit full-adaptation risks and familiarity.	12,5
65391	12	1	0	1	(D	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	0	1	1	1	When the Covid-19 pandemic hit th	2,0	2,0	0,0	One correct env (political/legal); second env missing. One immediate (relationship) correct; second missing. Part b noted trust but did not discuss full-adaptation risks or mention familiarity.	14,0
65409	11	1	0	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	Lil-Focus-Gen can adress following e	0,0	0,0	0,0	No defined environmental or immediate factors identified. Part b gave general rationale but did not reference cultural familiarity or specific risks.	11,0
65505	13	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	Environmental context factors (can	3,5	3,0	0,5	risks. Only culture counted as environmental; "technological advancements" not on the model. Both immediate factors correct. Part b omitted cultural familiarity.	16,5
65525	14	1	1	1		1	1	1	0	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Environmental and immediate cont	1,0	0,5	0,5	Env: "culture" (risk avoidance, 0.5); "task/time management" invalid. No defined immediate factors. Part b noted misunderstanding risk but omitted cultural familiarity.	15,0
65575	12	1	1	C		1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	Cognitive competence plays a big n	1,0	0,5	0,5	Only one env (culture) identified; no second env. No defined immediate factors. Part b mentioned failure risk but omitted cultural familiarity.	13,0
65588	13	1	1	0		1	1	1	1	1	. 1	0	1	1	1	1	1	Over the past few years, the world	1,0	0,5	0,5	"Geoeconomic uncertainties" matched instability/change; "consumer trends" invalid. No defined immediate factors. Part b gave rationale but lacked exploit full-imitation risks and did not reference cultural familiarity.	14,0
65681	12	0	1	1		1	1	0	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	0	ENVIRONMENTAL- Some of the cou	0,5	0,0	0,5	Part a lacked defined factors. Part b mentioned mutual respect but did	12,5
65689	13	1	0	1		1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	During the preparation stage, it is I	1,5	1,0	0,5	not reference cultural familiarity or full-adaptation risks. Treated both "cultural values" and "uncertainty avoidance" as one culture factor—only one unique defined environmental factor, no defined immediate factors; Part b mentioned authenticity but no familiarity.	14,5
65721	11	1	0	C		1	0	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	0	1	1	Environmental context factors: - Int	1,0	0,5	0,5	raminanty. Env: "ideology" (sustainability trends, 0.5); "competition" invalid. No defined immediate factors. Part b referenced misunderstanding and CQ but omitted explicit cultural familianty and trust.	12,0
I																							

65767	13	0	0	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	The first environmental factor that	1,5	1,0	0,5	Second environmental factor ("global customer awareness") not on the framework; no ldefined immediate factors; Part b noted authenticity but	14,5
65816	9	1	0	0	1	1	1 1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	Environmental factors: different cul	2,0	1,0	1,0	did not reference familiarity explicitly. Only culture counted as environmental; "geographical distance" not on model. No defined immediate factors. Part b included risks and cultural	11,0
65929	8	1	0	0	1	C) (0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	Enviromental Factors: are factors t	2,5	2,0	0,5	familiarity, so full credit. Environmental factors modeled without clear post-COVID examples (only identification points); immediate factors modeled without examples (only identification points); Part b omitted explicit risks and familiarity.	10,5
65953	14	1	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	Environmental context factors are e	4,5	4,0	0,5	Part a correct. Part b noted inauthenticity/misunderstanding risks but	18,5
66100	10	0	1	0	1	1	1 1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	The environment seems favorable f	1,0	1,0	0,0	omitted reference to cultural familiarity. Only "instability/change" was identified as a defined env factor; no immediate factors; Part b did not address moderate vs. full imitation at	11,0
66102	12	1	0	1	1	c) :	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	It is important to be aware of envir	4,5	4,0	0,5	all. Part a fully correct. Part b noted implementation risk but did not explicitly	16,5
66129	12	1	0	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	The negotiation here is between Lil	3,5	3,0	0,5	reference cultural familiarity or trust. Two env factors correct; only one immediate (relationship) valid;	15,5
66202	4	1	0	0	1	c) (0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	There are many factors that influen	1,5	1,0	0,5	"preparation" not on model. Part b omitted cultural familiarity. Env: "culture" and "instability/change" (Covid/tech shifts) both valid. No	5,5
																						defined immediate factors. Part b suggested moderation but omitted explicit trust risks and detailed cultural familiarity.	
66236	12	1	1	0	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	Environmental context factors are t	2,5	2,0	0,5	Only political conditions counted as an defined environmental factor; "economic conditions" not on model. One immediate factor (stakeholders) valid; "culture" not on immediate dimension. Part b omitted cultural familiarity.	14,5
66242	12	1	1	1	1	1	. (0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	One environmental factor are the d	2,5	2,0	0,5	Second environmental factor missing (only governments counted); only "power dynamics" matched an immediate factor; Part b mentioned win- win but omitted specific risks and familiarity.	14,5
66295	10	1	1	0	1	1	. 1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	As a consulting startup, trying to a	0,5	0,0	0,5	No defined environmental or immediate factors identified; Part b argued moderate approach but omitted explicit risks and reference to familiarity.	10,5
66329	9	1	0	0	1	C) 1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	Environmental factors are those the	2,5	2,0	0,5	Both environmental factors (legal/juridical, culture) correctly identified and exemplified; no immediate-context factors from model; Part b omitted explicit mention of cultural familiarity.	11,5
66649	10	0	0	0	1	C) :	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	In the environmental context:1. Pol	4,5	4,0	0,5	Part a fully correct. Part b noted general risks but omitted explicit discussion of cultural familiarity.	14,5
66903	13	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	I have decided to expand into Balka	2,5	2,0	0,5	Both "work place culture" and "unregulated AI" are not on the immediate dimension; Part b gave rationale but did not discuss risks of full imitation or mention familiarity explicitly.	15,5
66917	15	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	In international negotiations, the o	3,5	2,5	1,0	"Economic context" is not on the env dimension; "political context" lacked an example; "work arrangements" is not a defined immediate	18,5
67547	13	1	1	0	1	1	. (0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	The 2 most important environment	2,0	1,5	0,5	factor (only "partnerships" was accepted). Env: "political/legal" and "foreign bureaucracy" (governmental intervention valid. One immediate ("stakeholders"); "cultures affecting negotiation" invalid. Part b did not explicitly reference cultural familiarity or detail full-intation risks.	15,0
67853	12	1	1	1	1	C) (0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	Environmental factors are the ones	3,0	2,0	1,0	Env: "culture" and "ideology" both valid. Immediate: "bargaining power" and "desired outcomes" both valid. Part b covered familiarity well; risks of full imitation implied, meeting full-credit criteria.	15,0
67891	12	1	1	1	1	1	. (0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	First of all environmentally, the cur	1,5	0,5	1,0	No defined environmental factors. One immediate ("stakeholders"); "work mode" not on model. Part b discussed familiarity and full-imitation risks, earning full credit.	13,5
67951	13	1	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	Firstly, the inclusion of Ai for decisi	1,0	0,0	1,0	No defined environmental or immediate factors identified. Part b discussed authenticity and familiarity, but only implicit risk—no mention of trust or resource-drain risks explicitly (familiarity was included).	14,0
67955	13	1	1	1	1	1	. (0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	Moderate adaption is often more e	0,5	0,0	0,5	No defined factors in Part a. Part b discussed trust but omitted explicit mention of cultural familiarity and detailed risks of full adaptation.	13,5
68031	15	1	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Environmental (parties don't have i	2,0	1,5	0,5	Env: "politics" (political/legal pluralism) and "instability/change" (pandemic-related downturn) were valid. Immediate: "bargaining power" and "relationships" both correct. Part b lacked explicit mention of cultural familiarity guiding adaptation.	17,0
68046	7	1	1	0	1	C) 1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	Hoffsted cognitive, meta-cognitive a	4,5	4,0	0,5	Part a correct. Part b noted misunderstanding risk but omitted explicit reference to cultural familiarity.	11,5
68059	15	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Enviromental context factors can't l	4,0	4,0	0,0	Two environmental (instability/change, culture) and two immediate (stakeholders, bargaining power) correctly identified with examples; Part	19,0
68208	8	0	0	0	0	1	. (0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	Starting from the two enviromenta	1,5	1,0	0,5	b lacked any discussion of full-adaptation risks/familiarity. Neither "economic stability" nor "technological advancement" matched	9,5
																						the env dimension; only "prior relationship" was accepted for immediate; Part b omitted explicit reference to familiarity.	
68253	9	1	0	0	0	1	. (0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	Firstly, the main factor is that of gli	0,5	0,0	0,5	No defined environmental or immediate-context factors identified. Part b explained a hostile outcome but omitted explicit risks of full imitation and	9,5
68345	10	0	1	0	1	C) (D	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	Since Covid the environmental cont	2,5	2,0	0,5	did not reference cultural familiarity. Both environmental factors (hybrid work, Al/digitalization) are not on the framework. Immediate factors (stakeholders, desired outcomes) correct with examples. Part b omitted risks of full imitation and familiarity.	12,5
69566	12	1	1	0	1	1	1 1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	A post-pandemic environmental cor	1,5	1,0	0,5	Part a: "Legislation/mobility" (political) and "cultural mask use" (culture) covered two env; no defined immediates. Part b omitted explicit mention of cultural familiarity.	13,5
69569	10	1	1	1	0	C) (0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	As I understood, we have to find far	1,0	0,0	1,0	No defined environmental or immediate factors. Part b covered familiarity and trust concerns, earns full credit for Part b.	11,0
69610	12	1	0	1	1	C) 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	Assumption: Lil-Focus-Gen is a Britis	2,0	1,0	1,0	Part a: "Culture" matched env; "prior relationship" matched immediate; second factors invalid. Part b fully explained risks and cultural familiarity.	14,0
69612	11	1	0	0	1	1	L 1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	Environmental factorsWith hybrid v	0,5	0,0	0,5	No defined environmental or immediate factors identified. Part b gave rationale but did not reference cultural familiarity or explicitly outline full-imitation rick.	11,5
69646	13	0	0	1	1	1	L 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cross-cultural negotiations contain	2,5	2,0	0,5	Part a: "Legal/Economics" and "currency risk" covered two env; "stakeholders" and "power dynamics" covered both immediates. Part b omitted explicit cultural familiarity.	15,5
69651	8	0	0	1	1	C) 1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	During Covid the online work arran	1,5	1,0	0,5	omitted explicit cultural raminanty. Part a: Only "political/legal pluralism" counted. No other defined factors. Part b discussed risk of embarrassment but omitted explicit cultural familiarity.	9,5
69683	12	0	0	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	Environmental factors are those the	0,5	0,0	0,5	No defined factors in Part a. Part b mentioned respectful approach but	12,5
69684	13	0	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	Environmental (incontrollable): Al is	1,0	0,0	1,0	did not reference cultural familiarity or detail full-adaptation risks. No defined factors in Part a. Part b thoroughly explained full-imitation	14,0
69766	9	0	0	1	1	1	. 1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	Since the pandemic there has been	0,5	0,5	0,0	risks and cultural familiarity, earning full credit. Did not identify any defined environmental or immediate factors. Part b	9,5
69799	12	1	1	0	1	1	. 1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	Two environmental factors: factors	1,0	0,5	0,5	missing comparisons of adaptation risks and cultural familiarity. Part a: Only "pandemic" (instability/change) matched env; no defined	13,0
																						immediates. Part b lacked explicit mention of familiarity and full-imitation risks.	