Group	Student	Name	Presentation	Project	Report	Grade
1	63888 63909	Leopold Brennecke Maximilian Joachim Miebach Niklas Alexander Roth Tobias Beck	x x	Vinci's Grand Paris Express (France)	Presentation about Vinci took time and not necessary; good structure and analysis but small print maked it impossible to read; map missing; some issues have too much detail; on content, no clarity on which mitigation matters are already in place; sometimes they're introduced as long term positive impacts but they're connected to the construction phase.	17,5
2	65342 63759	Ben Varzandeh Carina Margot Elisabeth Herrmann Florian Nikolaus Langohr Luca Tim Schäffer	x x x	Green Volt Windfarm scotland	Good structure and analysis with map and illustrative photos but small print maked it impossible to read; acronyms and terms not easy to understand in the text; specifically on structure, would have been nice to distinguish between construction and operation phase; very clear on what's already done, impacts and further reccomendations; the final summary with priorities and feasibility of reccomendations is very good.	18,0
3	67741 64735	Julian Müller Marlon Robin Hötzel Maximilian Luis Meurer Niklas Josua Kohl	x x x	"The Line" project by NEOM Corporation	Good structure and analysis helped by images; short and streight information in some slides; very small print in the EIA/SEA/LCA slide and a bit small also in others; on content, tools from class used (content from class) and critically reflected on the importance of the context of the country for the reliability of the overall assessment; presentation was clear and engaging; recognise that most information come from the project promoter	18,5
4	63957 63995	Lara Madison Neise Selina Tockner Silas Christopher Honerkamp Vera Dejakum	x x x	Semmering Basistunnel (DE); Semmering Base Tunnel (EN) in Austria	It is worth noting that the sides had clear and visible topics and oralinformation complemented the written information; a map is missing; on contents, used tools from class; positively reflect on what is done to mitigate the impacts and feedback from public complaints	19,0

5	65928 65771	Helena Apollonia Widler Nicolas Maximilian Ziegler Philipp Christoph Nordmeyer Rachel Jux	x x x	Rail Baltica	Good structure and analysis; the characters should be bigger in some slides; two group members read statements; on content, they rightly consider that the impacts change according to the region affected by the project; life cycle perspective including the mitigation measures was a nice addition	17,5
6	64191 64483	Charles Xavier Daniel de Muyser Julius Valentin Wallinger Luca Kirchhoff Maximilian Steiner	x x x	the Dublin MetroLink Project	Good structure and analysis but with excessive and too detailed information in some slides; presentation of the recommendatiomns was a little bit confusing; two group members read too much; on content, the final recommendations could have been prioritized according to the information previously shared	17,5
7	67333 64021	Inês Sousa Santiago Margarida Correia Metelo Adrião Velado Maria Inês Borges Conceição Natasha Chloé Krause	x x x	Australia inland rail system	Very well presented with good slides but, in some of them, paragraphs were to big; information too detailed for a presentation in same cases; on content, It would have been interesting to explore biodiversity barrier effects on land since the infrastructure project is a trainline	18,0
8	64849 64025	Akil Jorge Mulima Mambuque Aurora Gillio Francesco Bucci Irene Asnaghi	x x x	Woodside's Burrup Hub	Good structure and data with creativity issues such as on the air quality analysis; some paragraphs are too long; on content, even though the risk of leakage is the most relevant, other impacts should have been also widely mentioned according to the guidelines	18,5
	68301	Hannah Marie Steen	х		Cond etructure but with too much inormation in come clides	

9	69948	Ivo Fabian Graf Julia Bertelshofer Raphael Zurfluh	x x	Project Inga III	parts in very small print; on LCA/EIA/SWS, general comments on the tools are unnecessary; 3 out of 4 members directly read written text; on content, an alert on the need to also mention positive impacts associated with the operational phase of the project.	16,5
10	65551	Anna Maria Sophie Zellmer	Х			
	61727	Christina Tebbe	Х	US railway project Brightline West - Las Vegas to Victor Valley	Good structure and analysis with clear topics and symbols; last slide with the summary with too small prints; part of the presentation was read; on content, it is important to show which of the mitigation measures are already in place	17,5
	61448	Lucas Linke	х			
	65683	Simon Alexander Kramer	х			
	69390	Björn Alexander Taus	х	The High Speed 2 (HS2) railway project	Good presentation with a robust structure and analysis; small prints in some slides; on content and presentation, mentioning the status of implementation of the mitigation measures was positively evaluated	
11	69684	Erlend Engevik Helland	x			19,0
	69682	Halvor Thorstensen Femdal	х			
	69683	Jakob Grøner	х			
	51784	Inês Carmezim Figueiredo	х	Red Sea Project	Good overview and nice slides; part of the integration tools text too small and unreadable; too much information in the recommendations section with some of them too indirect or not that significant; 3 out of 4 members read text	16,5
12	65508	Leonor Lopes Marinho Vieira Ferreira	x			
	65912	Lucas Jean Olof Cortellini	х			
	65835	Mónica Sacadura Barbosa Rebelo da Silva	Х			
	63847	Jonas Sebastian Kreft	х		Very good research work; well structured; however, history of the project was too extensive; too small prints and too much	
12	58176	Josefine Mahler	Х	Crand Ethionian Danaiceanca Dam (Wahuild S n A)	information in many slides; on content, which was not the main evaluation component, a very positive note on framing	10 0

10		Luna Gerda-rosa Schick Pascal Naumann	x x	Granu Eunopian Nenaissance Dain (Webulu S.p.A.)	the project on a national context with lack of transparency as one of the main problems and the fact that the results presented were structured from direct comparison with similar projects and not from an existing EIA	10,0
14	63603	Hannah Burth	х			
	57968	Hannah Tewes	х	The "Skybrudsplan" (cloudburst plan) - a sponge city initiative in Copenhagen	Good structure and analysis; too much information with many	16,0
	63711	Kathrin Biberger	х	The Skybrudspian (cloudburst plan) - a sponge city initiative in Soperinagen	group members read extensively	10,0
	60460	Leonie Marie Pfeifer	х			
15	65660	Alex Patrik Oskar Eriksson	х			
	69712	Cecilia Serafino	х		Good structure without too much details but sometimes	
	63989	Caroline Afonso	х	Sites Reservoir project in California	confusing without identifying positive and negative impacts and loose ends topics; good conclusions; only one part read; on content, considering maintainance in the operation phase	17,0
	67713	Marta Ricchi	х		was quite interesting	
	64084	Sara Louise Elsa Ricklander				
	64388	Armin Alexander Niggemeyer	х			
	65782	Isabella Sophie Barth	х		Good structure and analysis; context was too detailed; good	
16	63579	Paul Lennart Rocke	х	Ford BlueOval SK Battery Park	critical view of the mitigation measures; should enlarge the size of the text; positively give a simple overview of the most	17,5
	63726	Tessa Mary Pohlschroeder	х		impoactant issues,	
	65134	Valéria Miller	х			