MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING SPRING 2025

# Management Accounting

PRACTICAL CLASS 5







# (In) Direct Costs ADDING SOME COMPLEXITY REALISM

So far we have worked with very **simple** but *unrealistic* companies that **produce only one good**.

In real life, companies produce **hundreds** of **SKU's** (Stock *Keeping Units)* in a **single plant**.

While **Direct Costs** are easy to trace, how should we assign **Indirect Costs?** 

**Note:** *Remember that the precision of costing is a business* decision. There's a trade-off between costs and benefit.



### 5 March 2025 | CARCAVELOS CAMPUS



**Can** be **traced** easily and accurately to a **Direct Costs** cost object. e.g. DM and DL **Cannot** be traced to cost objects and should be **allocated** based on estimates Indirect Costs e.g. MOH



# Product vs Period costs

So far we have worked with very **simple** but *unrealistic* companies that **produce only one good**.

In real life, companies produce **hundreds** of **SKU's** (Stock *Keeping Units)* in a **single plant**.

While **Direct Costs** are easy to trace, how should we assign **Indirect Costs?** 

**Note:** *Remember that the precision of costing is a business* decision. There's a trade-off between costs and benefit.



5 March 2025 | CARCAVELOS CAMPUS

Product Costs	Attached to the products and included in the stock (inventory valuation). Treated a an expense (COGS) when products are sold <i>e.g. Manufacturing Costs</i>
Period Costs	Not included in inventory valuation. Treated as an expense of the period in which they ocurred <i>e.g. Non-Manufacturing Costs</i>



IS

# Traditional Costing GOOD OLD CHEAPER WAY

The easiest way is the **simple overhead rate**, which acts as an average rate across all overheads.

However, it might make sense to be more precise and have **multiple overhead rates** when resources' utilization varies much.

e.g. Clothes manufacturer with a Premium (hand-made) and a Regular (machine-made) line of products. What would happen if



5 March 2025 | CARCAVELOS CAMPUS



### Suitable for resources consumed **proportionally** across the organization

 $SOR = \frac{Total \, Overheads}{Total \, Allocation \, Base}$ 



Suitable for resources consumed **differently** across the organization

 $MOR_{i} = \frac{Overheads i}{Total Allocation Base}$ 



# MOH's & Departments IN PRACTICE

Companies link MOH to Cost Centres, which are usually departments/production stages.

Inside the factory, there are 2 Types of Departments 🔁

We must link Services Departments Costs to the Production

Departments which we can link to Products

*How?* Compute Overhead Rates on Service Departments and Allocate them to Production Departments based on usage.

But there's a problem... What if Cleaning needs Maintenance?

(Interactions between Service Departments)



### Production Departments

Departments that are **Directly Involved** in the production of the finished goods

e.g. Sewing, Cutting, Painting

Service Departments Departments that **Support** the functioning of other departments

e.g. Maintenance, Factory Administration, Cleaning...

# Homogenous Cost Pool

### 3 METHODS

Which is to say:

- Direct Method: Just pretend interactions don't exist
- Step-Down Method: Just pretend (small) interactions don't exist
- **Simultaneous Equation Method:** Flex your Math Skills to be as precise





### **Direct Method**

**Disregard** interactions between **Service** Departments

Sequential or Step-Down Method

**Disregard** the smallest interactions (% of usage)



Simultaneous Equation Method

**Includes all** the mutual services provided by cost

# Homogenous Cost Pool IN PRACTICE

1. Assigning all manufacturing overheads to production and service cost centres.

2. Reallocating the costs assigned to service cost centres to production cost centres.

3. Computing separate overhead rates for each production cost centre.

Stage 1: **Overheads assignment to cost centres** 

Stage 2: Allocation of cost centres costs to cost objects





# Exercise

### 11 – FINISHING MANUFACTURING CO



CARCAVELOS CAMPUS