## Nonlinear Time Series Models

#### Introduction

- Regressions, ARMA models and VARs are all linear
- Illustrate with a regression:

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + \varepsilon_t$$

- Straight line relationship between y and x
- $\beta$  is marginal effect of x on y
- Marginal effect is same regardless of value of x
- Nonlinear models = anything that is not linear
- Marginal effect may be different depending on what value of x is
- E.g. quadratric relationship

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta_1 x_t + \beta_2 x_t^2 + \varepsilon_t$$

• Marginal effect is  $\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 x_t$ 

# Types of Nonlinearity

- In macroeconomics and finance certain types of nonlinearities of particular interest
- Abrupt change: at a point in time  $\beta$  abruptly changes
- Structural break models
- E.g. financial crisis fundamentally altered the financial markets and relationships between variables changed
- Gradual change:  $\beta$  is gradually changing over time
- Time varying parameter (TVP) models
- E.g. financial liberalizations throughout the 1980s and 1990s gradually changed the relationships between financial variables

# Types of Nonlinearity

- Regime switching models:  $\beta$  is different in different regimes
- E.g. Regime = state of the business cycle
- Expansion and Recession might be two regimes
- E.g.  $\beta$  measures the effectiveness of monetary policy
- Measures how much inflation increases when money supply increased
- Printing money in recessionary times might not cause inflation  $(\beta = 0)$
- Printing money when economy is booming will ( $\beta$  large)

# Types of Nonlinearity

- So far talked about nonlinearities in  $\beta$
- Nonlinearities in error variance  $(\sigma^2)$
- E.g. high volatility regime versus low volatility regime in stock markets
- E.g. Risk on/Risk off behaviour of financial market participants
- E.g. Great Moderation of Business Cycle
- In early 1980s volatility of many macro variables dropped

# Why Worry About Nonlinearities?

- Strong empirical evidence it exists in many (most?) macroeconomic and financial time series
- Macro variables: Stock and Watson (1996) "Evidence on Structural Instability in Macroeconomic Time Series Relations" *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*
- Financial Variables: Ang and Bekaert (2002) "Regime Switches in Interest Rates" *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*
- Many other papers present similar findings
- If nonlinearities exist linear models are mis-specified
- Linear researcher gives wrong policy advice/bad forecasts, etc.
- E.g. How much does inflation increase when money supply increase?
- Linear methods give average estimate over expansions and recessions
- Average over time where  $\beta = 0$  and  $\beta$  large might give  $\beta$  fairly large

## The Econometrics of Nonlinear Time Series Models

- This lecture goes through various models of breaks/regime switching/TVP
- Focus is on the models, their properties and how to use them in practice
- Only a little about theory of estimation and hypothesis testing
- Maximum likelihood or Bayesian methods or least squares typically done

## The Econometrics of Nonlinear Time Series Models

- Stata will produce usual likelihood based model choice methods including:
- Information criteria, tests of significance of individual parameters and likelihood ratio tests
- Reading 1: Chapters 9 and 10 of Ghysels and Marcellino
- Reading 2: Chapter 4 of Tsay

#### Models with Structural Breaks

- Use AR(1) model to illustrate ideas
- Extensions to AR(p) easy (just add more lags)
- And usually want to include an intercept (results below do)
- Case of structural breaks in regression coefficients is easy (just replace y<sub>t-1</sub> by x<sub>t</sub>)
- A simple structural break model:

$$y_t = \begin{cases} \rho_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \text{ if } t \leq \tau \\ \rho_2 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \text{ if } t > \tau \end{cases}$$

- Different AR(1) models before or after break date au
- For now assume break date known
- Note: extension to multiple breaks is straightforward

- Data: Real Gross Domestic Product, Percent Change from Preceding Period, Quarterly, Seasonally Adjusted Annual Rate
- Plotted on next graph
- Can write structural break model as

$$y_t = \rho_1 y_{t-1} + \gamma x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

where  $x_t = D_t y_t$ 

- Dummy variable:  $D_t = 1$  if  $t > \tau$ , else  $D_t = 0$
- If  $t \leq \tau$  then coefficient on  $y_{t-1}$  is  $\rho_1$
- If t > τ coefficient on y<sub>t-1</sub> is ρ<sub>1</sub> + γ (same as ρ<sub>2</sub> on previous slide)



• OLS estimates of the AR(1) model give fitted regression line

 $y_t = 2.04 + 0.37 y_{t-1}$ 

 Adding x<sub>t-1</sub> using a break date τ = 1983Q1 (beginning of Great Moderation of the Business Cycle?) gives

$$y_t = 2.06 + 0.38y_{t-1} - .02x_{t-1}$$

- Two fitted regressions look pretty similar, so maybe no break in 1983Q1?
- Can test this: if  $\gamma = 0$ , same AR model before/after break
- T-stat for  $H_0: \gamma = 0$  is -0.20 with p-value 0.84
- Accept H<sub>0</sub> there is no break in 1983Q1
- Another way to check is information criteria
- AR(1) gives AIC and BIC of 1495 and 1502
- AR(1) with break gives AIC and BIC of 1496 and 1507
- Choose AR(1) over model with break

- Preceding assumed same error variance before and after break
- What if model is:

$$y_t = \begin{cases} \rho_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \text{ if } t \leq \tau \\ \rho_2 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{2t} \text{ if } t > \tau \end{cases}$$

- var  $(\varepsilon_{1t}) = \sigma_1^2$  and var  $(\varepsilon_{2t}) = \sigma_2^2$
- Can estimate this model by simply dividing the data into two parts
- Part 1: all observations with  $t \leq \tau$
- Part 2: all observations with  $t > \tau$

- Divide the data into pre- and post-1983 samples
- Two OLS regressions yield:
- Fitted regression line with pre-1983 sample:

$$y_t = 2.41 + 0.34y_{t-1}$$

- $s^2 = 20.85$
- Post-1983:

$$y_t = 1.46 + 0.49y_{t-1}$$

•  $s^2 = 5.14$ 

- Looks like break in error variance:
- Great Moderation of the Business Cycle: s<sup>2</sup> much lower after 1983
- But how confident statistically?

- Three models: AR(1), AR(1) with break in mean (i.e.  $\rho$  changes) and AR(1) with break in both mean and error variance
- Use information criteria (IC) to choose between them
- Note: IC for model with break in mean and variance just add together ICs from pre- and post-break regressions

|                                       | AIC     | BIC     |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| AR(1)                                 | 1494.74 | 1501.98 |
| AR(1) with break in mean              | 1496.70 | 1507.55 |
| AR(1) using pre-break data            | 842.18  | 848.10  |
| AR(1) using post-break data           | 592.56  | 598.32  |
| AR(1) with break in mean and variance | 1434.74 | 1446.43 |

 AR(1) with breaks in mean and variance has lowest IC's – it is best model

### Chow Test

- General test used with regression or AR (here use for break)
- Do two parts of your sample have same regression line?

• 
$$H_0: \rho_1 = \rho_2, \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$$

- Steps in Chow Test using break date au
- Estimate AR(1) model using entire sample and get Sum of Squared Residuals (*SSR*<sub>0</sub>)
- Estimate AR(1) model using  $t \leq \tau$  sample and get  $SSR_1$
- Estimate AR(1) model using  $t > \tau$  sample and get  $SSR_2$

#### Chow Test

Chow test statistic is:

$$Chow = \frac{\frac{SSR_0 - (SSR_1 + SSR_2)}{k}}{\frac{SSR_1 + SSR_2}{T_1 + T_2 - 2k}}$$

- $T_1 =$  number of observations in  $t \leq au$  sample
- $T_2 =$  number of observations in  $t > \tau$  sample
- k = number of explanatory variables (plus intercept) in model
- In AR(1) k = 2
- If  $H_0$  is true Chow ~  $F(k, T_1 + T_2 2k)$
- Get critical value from F statistical tables

- In our example k = 2,  $T_1 = 132$ ,  $T_2 = 142$
- $SSR_0 = 3640.1$ ,  $SSR_1 = 2940.6$ ,  $SSR_2 = 667.6$
- Plugging these in we get Chow = 1.19
- 5% critical value from *F* (2, 270) is 3.09
- Test statistic less than critical value so accept H<sub>0</sub>
- In contrast to IC results, this indicates no break
- Classical hypothesis testing: only reject  $H_0$  if overwhelming evidence against it
- BIC is (approximately) proportional to log of probability model generated data
- ratio of exp of BICs for two models = relatively probabilities of each model
- Hypothesis tests/ICs have different interpretations so sometimes they give different results

### The Threshold Autoregressive (TAR) Model

- TAR models have same form as structural break models
- But different variable triggers regime change

$$y_t = \begin{cases} \rho_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \text{ if } z_t \leq \tau \\ \rho_2 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{2t} \text{ if } z_t > \tau \end{cases}$$

• 
$$var(\varepsilon_{1t}) = \sigma_1^2$$
 and  $var(\varepsilon_{2t}) = \sigma_2^2$ 

• au is called the threshold

## The TAR Model

- *z<sub>t</sub>* (the trigger) can be any exogenous or lagged dependent variable
- Common choice:  $z_t = y_{t-d}$
- *d* =delay parameter
- Must have d > 0
- Having y<sub>t</sub> being dependent variable and also trigger runs into endogeneity problems
- $z_t$  could be some other variable (e.g. change in oil price)
- Structural break model is special case of TAR with  $z_t = t$

### The TAR Model

- Assume au (and d) known (talk about estimating them later)
- Econometrics exactly the same as structural break model (with known break data) covered previously
- E.g. GDP growth and  $z_t = y_{t-1}$  and  $\tau = 0$
- Two regimes: recession  $(y_{t-1} \le 0)$  and expansion  $(y_{t-1} > 0)$
- Approach 1: TAR with change in mean
- Create  $D_t = a$  dummy variable = 1 for recessions, 0 for expansions
- Create x<sub>t</sub> = D<sub>t</sub>y<sub>t</sub>
- Add  $x_{t-1}$  as explanatory variable to AR(1) model
- Approach 2: TAR with change in mean and variance
- Run separate AR(1) models for recession and expansion

# Example: Regime Switches in US GDP growth

- GDP growth data
- $z_t = y_{t-1}$  and  $\tau = 0$
- Table of information criteria in same format as for structural breaks

|   | AIC     | BIC     |
|---|---------|---------|
| AR(1)                                   | 1494.74 | 1501.98 |
| TAR(1) with change in mean              | 1496.54 | 1507.39 |
| $AR(1)$ using $y_{t-1} \ge 0$           | 1241.28 | 1248.19 |
| AR(1) using $y_{t-1} < 0$               | 247.96  | 251.38  |
| TAR(1) with change in mean and variance | 1489.24 | 1499.57 |

• Similar to structural break results

# Example: Regime Switches in US GDP growth

- Little evidence that recessionary and expansionary regimes have different AR coefficients
- But there is evidence that error variances differ between recessions and expansions
- Larger error variances in recessions
- In substantive application, would consider longer lag length, different thresholds, triggers and delays
- Or even multiple regimes
- E.g. 4 regimes: 1) recessions, 2) recovery from recessions 3) normal times and 4) over-heating
- For financial applications, might want structural break or regime switching in volatility
- E.g. Tsay, page 182-183 has threshold GARCH model
- Asymmetric responses to positive and negative return to an asset.

## What if Break Dates or Thresholds Unknown?

- Have now gone through basic ideas of structural break and TAR class of regime switching models
- But always assumed au (and  $z_t$  and d) known
- The idea underlying estimation is simple:
- Estimate model for every possible choice for  $\tau$  (and  $z_t$  and d if relevant)
- Choose value of  $\tau$  with highest value for likelihood (MLE)
- Choose value for  $\tau$  with lowest sum of squared residuals (least squares estimator)
- May want to restrict possible values for  $\tau$  to make sure regimes contain a minimum number of observations
- E.g. break does not occur in first or last 5% of the observations

### What if Break Dates or Thresholds Unknown?

- You have to program in Stata to do estimation in this way using loops
- Loops are commands of the form:
- "for each [value of  $\tau$ ] in [a grid of possible values] [estimate the TAR]"
- And you have to specify things in [...]
- I won't ask you to estimate au in computer labs

#### What if Break Dates or Thresholds Unknown?

- With multiple breaks and other parameters can become computationally demanding
- E.g. Monthly data for 40 years (T = 480)
- 3 regimes (2 thresholds) TAR model (τ<sub>1</sub>, τ<sub>2</sub>) with 12 values for delay (d = 1, ..., 12)
- On the order of  $12 \times 480^2 = 2764800$  TARs to estimate
- Can reduce this somewhat by imposing restrictions (e.g.  $\tau_2 > \tau_1$  and each regime must contain at least 40 observations)
- But still a lot of TARs to estimate

## Testing for Nonlinearity

- Can always use information criteria to choose between different nonlinear time series models
- Or choose between nonlinear and linear
- What about formal hypothesis testing methods?
- If  $\tau$  is known can use Chow test
- But what is *τ* is unknown?
- Tests break into two groups:
- 1. Test in a particular model (e.g. testing TAR/structural break versus AR)
- 2. general test for departures from linearity

- Same issues hold for TAR and structural break model, illustrate with former
- Bottom line: econometric theory hard and hard to do these tests in Stata (need to program)
- Consider hypothesis test for whether TAR is preferred to AR

 $y_t = \begin{cases} \rho_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \text{ if } z_t \leq \tau \\ \rho_2 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{2t} \text{ if } z_t > \tau \end{cases}$ 

• 
$$H_0: \rho_1 = \rho_2, \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$$
  
•  $H_1: \rho_1 \neq \rho_2, \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$ 

- But what about  $\tau$ ?  $H_0$  says nothing about it as it does not appear in AR model
- Jargon: Nuisance parameter unidentified under the null or Davies' Problem
- Complicates the econometric theory of deriving asymptotic distribution of test statistic
- Also have to program in Stata
- Beyond scope of this course, but see page 211 of Tsay textbook if interested
- Davies' problem make several nonlinear tests complicated
- Tsay, page 212 describes another TAR test which does not run into Davies' problem (but complicated in other ways)

- A simple test statistic for TAR can be obtained using the sums of squared residuals for the linear (SSR<sub>0</sub>) and TAR (SSR<sub>1</sub>) relative to estimated error variance from TAR (s<sup>2</sup>)
- But  $SSR_1$  and  $s^2$  depend on choice for threshold so write them as  $SSR_1(\tau)$  and  $s^2(\tau)$
- Hansen test statistic is based on

$$H(\tau) = \frac{SSR_0 - SSR_1(\tau)}{s^2(\tau)}$$

 Test statistic is H(τ\*) where τ\* is chosen to make the test statistic as large as possible

- To do hypothesis testing you need test statistic and critical value
- We have a test statistic  $H(\tau^*)$ , what is critical value?
- To get critical value you need to know distribution of test statistic
- Distribution of  $H( au^*)$  is not know analytically
- Need to use numerical methods
- This is not done in standard software like Stata

### Tests for Departures from Linearity

- Several tests exist to check whether linear model is adequate without specifying alternative model
- I will discuss one of these which can be easily done in Stata
- Intuition: if linear model is okay, then its errors should be white noise
- Estimate linear model, calculate residuals and test if they are white noise
- If not, then better consider a nonlinear model such as structural break or TAR
- Note: sometimes looking at patterns in residuals can suggest what form of nonlinearity.
- E.g. if large residuals bunch at one point in time might indicate break

### **RESET** Test

• Can be used with regression or AR(p) models, illustrate with AR(1):

$$y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

- Obtain OLS residuals  $\hat{\varepsilon}_t$  and their sum of squares,  $SSR_0$
- If linear model is correct, these residuals should be white noise (unpredictable)
- Run a second OLS regression of:

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}_t = \phi_1 \widehat{\varepsilon}_{t-1} + \phi_2 \widehat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^2 + v_t$$

and get sum of squared residuals,  $SSR_1$ 

• Note: can have higher powers (e.g.  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{t-1}^3$ ) on right hand side of second regression

#### **RESET** Test

- $H_0: \phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$
- If  $H_0$  true then  $SSR_1 = SSR_0$
- If  $H_1$  true then  $SSR_1 < SSR_0$
- This intuition forms basis of RESET test
- Test statistic

$$RS = \frac{(SSR_0 - SSR_1) / g}{SSR_1 / (T - p - g)}$$

- Note: p is AR lag length and and g is highest power on 

   *c*<sub>t-1</sub>
   in second regression minus one
- If  $H_0$  is true,  $RS \sim F(g, t p g)$
- Get critical values from F-statistical tables

# Practical Recommendations for Model Choice with Nonlinear Time Series Models

- Often researcher faces questions
- Is a time series model linear or nonlinear?
- If nonlinear what type of nonlinearity?
- Sometimes economic theory and knowledge about the economy can help answer
- If not, then experiment:
- Try some generic test (like RESET or others in textbook)
- If any indication of nonlinearity, look at IC's for a few different nonlinear models
- E.g. TAR (different choices for z<sub>t</sub>, d and τ), structural break models (different choices for τ)
- Choose specification with best IC

## Other Threshold Autoregressive Models

- Several variants on the TAR model I will not go through
- STAR = smooth transition autoregressive model (see chapter 9 of textbook)
- e.g. TAR switches abruptly from one regime to another, STAR does this more gradually
- My models and examples involve switching between two regression models
- Can do very similar things with error variances
- E.g. Tsay (Chapter 3) has threshold GARCH model (TGARCH)
- GARCH involves equation for volatilities of asset returns, r<sub>t</sub>

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_2 r_{t-1}^2$$

- TGARCH allows this to differ across regimes
- e.g. one GARCH equation if  $r_{t-1} < \tau$ , another if  $r_{t-1} \geq \tau$
- Popular class of regime-switching model
- Similar idea to state space model
- Latent variable (similar to state) denotes which regime you are in
- Automatically classifies observations into regimes
- Illustrate for AR(1) model with two regimes
- Ideas go through for AR(p) (or time series regression or VARs) with many regimes

$$y_t = \begin{cases} \rho_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \text{ if } s_t = 1\\ \rho_2 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{2t} \text{ if } s_t = 2 \end{cases}$$

- Different AR models depending on whether  $s_t = 1$  or 2
- Similar structure to TAR
- Would be a TAR if we were to define "regime indicator" or "state" s<sub>t</sub> as
- $s_t = 1$  if  $z_t \leq \tau$
- *s*<sub>t</sub> = 2 if *z*<sub>t</sub> > τ
- But Markov switching model defines s<sub>t</sub> differently

- *s<sub>t</sub>* is hidden two-state Markov chain
- What does this mean?
- "Hidden" means not directly observed (latent) similar to states
- Wikipedia's definition of Markov Chain:
- 'random process that undergoes transitions from one state to another on a state space. It must possess a property that is usually characterized as memorylessness: the probability distribution of the next state depends only on the current state and not on the sequence of events that preceded it.'

- E.g. Suppose  $s_t = 1$ , what is  $s_{t+1}$ ?
- Can either stay in regime 1, or switch (transition) to regime 2

$$\Pr(s_{t+1} = 1 | s_t = 1) = p_{11}$$
  
 
$$\Pr(s_{t+1} = 2 | s_t = 1) = p_{12}$$

- Probability of switching depends only on s<sub>t</sub> (not on s<sub>t-1</sub> or any past data, etc. = memoryless)
- E.g. suppose  $s_t = 1$  is recession, 2 is expansion
- p<sub>11</sub> is probability of staying in recession next period
- $p_{12}$  is probability of switching from regime 1 to 2
- I.e. out of recession into expansion (turning point of business cycle)

• 
$$p_{12} = 1 - p_{11}$$

 Similarly can define a p<sub>22</sub> as probability of staying in regime 2 given currently in regime 2

$$\Pr(s_{t+1} = 1 | s_t = 2) = p_{21}$$
  
$$\Pr(s_{t+1} = 2 | s_t = 2) = p_{22}$$

- $p_{21} = 1 p_{22}$  is probability of switching from regime 2 to 1
- e.g. switching from expansion to recession
- Used for dating turning points in business cycles, calculating probability economy will go into recession, etc.

Definition:

$$y_t = \begin{cases} \rho_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \text{ if } s_t = 1\\ \rho_2 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{2t} \text{ if } s_t = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\Pr\left(s_{t+1}=j|s_t=i\right)=p_{ij}$$

- var  $(\varepsilon_{jt}) = \sigma_j^2$
- Can have  $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$  (regimes have different error variances)
- Or can have  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$  (homoskedastic: regimes have same error variances)

- Can estimate Markov switching model, e.g., in Stata using maximum likelihood
- Estimates of  $p_{ij}$  and  $s_t$  for t = 1, ..., T provided
- Can use information criteria to choose between Markov switching and other models
- s<sub>t</sub> tells you which observation is in which regime

- Important difference with TAR
- TAR you (the researcher) chooses the regimes
- E.g. GDP growth and choice of  $z_t = y_{t-1}$  and threshold  $\tau = 0$  implies:
- One regime where last period's growth was negative, another positive
- The researcher has imposed: regime 1 is recessionary, regime 2 is expansionary
- Markov switching *estimates* which observation lies in which regime
- Maybe the classification could accord with expansion/recession, but maybe not
- Could get any division into regimes

- Markov switching AR(1) model (homoskedastic)
- Include an intercept in each model (called  $\alpha_j$  in tables below)
- Stata will produce:
- Estimates of intercept and AR coeff. for each regimes
- Error variance
- transition probabilities
- See table on next slide

|                        | Estimate | St. Error | 95% Confidence Interval |        |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|--------|
| α1                     | 1.805    | 0.280     | 1.257                   | 2.353  |
| $\rho_1$               | 0.379    | 0.056     | 0.269                   | 0.488  |
| α2                     | 14.816   | 2.365     | 10.180                  | 19.451 |
| $\rho_2$               | -0.091   | 0.223     | -0.528                  | 0.346  |
| <i>p</i> <sub>11</sub> | 0.987    | 0.009     | 0.948                   | 0.997  |
| <i>p</i> <sub>12</sub> | 0.013    | 0.009     | 0.003                   | 0.052  |
| <i>p</i> <sub>21</sub> | 0.641    | 0.250     | 0.175                   | 0.938  |
| <i>p</i> <sub>22</sub> | 0.359    | 0.250     | 0.062                   | 0.825  |

- How are results interpreted?
- *p*<sub>11</sub> is close to one: if in regime 1, stay there with high probability
- $p_{22}$  is much smaller (0.359): if in regime 2, tend to switch to regime 1
- Stata (command: estat durations) will estimate durations of each regime
- Estimated duration of regime 1 is 75.4 quarters
- Estimated duration of regime 2 is 1.6 quarters
- Regime 1 long, regime 2 very short
- Regime 2 has few observations in it so imprecise estimation (wide confidence intervals)
- Next slide has estimates of probability each period is in regime 1 (filtered)
- Probabilities for regime 2 are one minus this



- Figure shows regime 1 holds almost all the time
- Regime 2 only holds for a few periods
- If you look at data (remember we are using % change since previous quarter at annual rate):
- 7 quarters have very fast (>11%) growth rates: 1950Q1, Q2, Q3, 1955Q1, 1971Q1, 1978Q2
- These are exactly the ones classified as regime 2
- Regime 2 = outliers of unusually fast growth

• Note: fitted AR(1) model in regime 2 is:

$$y_t = 14.82 - 0.091 y_{t-1}$$

- AR coefficient is insignificant, hence regime 2 is (approx.) saying  $y_t = 14.82$  (i.e. predicted GDP growth in regime 2 is very high)
- This (homoskedastic) Markov switching model has been asked to divide data in two regimes
- Answer: regime 1 is "normal growth", regime 2 is "small number of outliers"

- Repeat the analysis with heteroskedastic model
- Now  $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$
- All other specification choices the same
- Next table gives parameter estimates

|                        | Estimate | St. Error | 95% Confidence Interval |       |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|-------|
| α1                     | 2.205    | 0.340     | 1.538                   | 2.857 |
| $\rho_1$               | 0.247    | 0.100     | 0.051                   | 0.442 |
| α2                     | 2.152    | 0.453     | 1.264                   | 3.040 |
| $\rho_2$               | 0.387    | 0.078     | 0.239                   | 0.534 |
| <i>p</i> <sub>11</sub> | 0.983    | 0.014     | 0.920                   | 0.997 |
| <i>p</i> <sub>12</sub> | 0.017    | 0.014     | 0.003                   | 0.080 |
| <i>p</i> <sub>21</sub> | 0.014    | 0.012     | 0.003                   | 0.068 |
| <i>p</i> <sub>22</sub> | 0.986    | 0.012     | 0.932                   | 0.997 |
| $\sigma_1^2$           | 1.949    | 0.137     | 1.698                   | 2.238 |
| $\sigma_2^2$           | 4.545    | 0.271     | 4.043                   | 5.109 |

- How are results interpreted?
- *p*<sub>11</sub> and *p*<sub>22</sub> now both much closer to one
- Once in a regime, tend to stay there for long time
- Estimated duration of regime 1 is 59.9 quarters
- Estimated duration of regime 2 is 70.9 quarters
- Fitted regression lines in two regimes similar to one another
- Regimes differ in error variances:  $\widehat{\sigma}_1^2 = 1.949$  and  $\widehat{\sigma}_2^2 = 4.043$
- Next slide has estimates of probability each period is in regime 1 (filtered)
- Probabilities for regime 2 are one minus this



- Figure shows regime 1 holds for most of time since 1983 (with some exceptions)
- Post-1983 often called Great Moderation of the Business Cycle
- Exceptions around 2008-2009 (Financial Crisis) and 2001 (bursting of dotcom bubble)
- Regime 2 holds for these exceptions plus most of earlier part of sample
- This (heteroskedastic) Markov switching model has been asked to divide data in two regimes
- Its answer: regime 1 is "low volatility", regime 2 is "high volatility"

- Model comparison between hetero and homo versions:
- AIC for homo is 1484.6 and for hetero is 1446.8
- BIC for homo is 1509.9 and for hetero is 1475.8
- Heteroskedastic version of model clearly preferred
- Comparing to TAR and AR, heteroskedastic Markov switching is best
- Of all the models considered in this lecture for GDP growth best one is:
- Markov switching model involving high and low volatility regimes

### Time-varying Parameter AR Model

- Markov switching: abrupt switches between regimes
- TVP-AR allows for constant gradual evolution of parameters
- Illustrate with TVP-AR(1)
- Same ideas work with TVP-AR(p), TVP regression or TVP-VARs
- They are state space models
- All our state space tools (Kalman filter, etc.) can be used

## Time-varying Parameter AR Model

• Measurement equation

$$y_t = \rho_t y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

- Note *t* subscript on AR coefficient
- State equation

$$\rho_{t+1} = \rho_t + u_t$$

#### The TVP-AR

- Remember our general Normal Linear State Space model
- Measurement equation:

$$y_t = W_t \delta + Z_t \beta_t + \varepsilon_t$$

• State equation:

$$\beta_{t+1} = D_t \beta_t + u_t$$

- TVP-AR is special case of this with:
- W<sub>t</sub> = 0 (although can add explanatory variables with constant coeffs through W<sub>t</sub>)
- $Z_t = y_{t-1}$
- $\beta_t = \rho_t$
- $D_t = 1$

## Example: Estimating the TVP-AR using GDP Growth Data

- Will not discuss econometric estimation and model comparison for TVP-AR
- Already covered in state space model lecture
- Stata cannot estimate the TVP-AR (without further programming)
- It only allows for Normal linear state space model where  $Z_t = Z$  (constant over time)
- With TVP-AR  $Z_t = y_{t-1}$  (varying over time)
- Allowing for time-varying intercept in Stata possible
- That is, estimating

$$y_t = \alpha_t + \beta x_t + \varepsilon_t$$

can be done in Stata (can have regression terms playing role like  $W_t \delta$  in Normal linear state space model)

• But not

$$y_t = \alpha_t + \beta_t x_t + \varepsilon_t$$

using Stata's state space commands

- Tsay textbook has example with CAPM with time-varying  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$
- CAPM = capital asset pricing model
- Key model in finance (we will consider similar models in next lecture on Factor Models)
- Here outline Tsay's Time varying version of CAPM
- r<sub>t</sub> = excess return on asset of interest (e.g. General Motors stock)
- r<sub>M,t</sub> = excess return on the market as a whole (e.g. S&P500 index)

• Conventional (constant parameter) CAPM

$$r_t = \alpha + br_{M,t} + \varepsilon_t$$

- b is CAPM beta
- Idea: *b* is measure of risk, measure of volatillity of GM stock relative to market as a whole
- b < 1 GM is less volatile than stock market as a whole
- b > 1 GM is more volatile than stock market as a whole
- *α* is CAPM alpha
- Idea:  $\alpha$  is expect to be zero, if positive it measures abnormal returns (on risk adjusted basis) investor gets from hold GM stock
- Excess return on portfolio/mutual fund above what an equilibrium model like CAPM might suggest

- Tsay suggests CAPM alpha and/or beta might be changing over time
- E.g. There are some times mutual fund manager can enjoy abnormal returns (α > 0) other times not (α ≈ 0)
- E.g. Financial crisis caused correlation between stock market as a whole and individual stocks to change (β changes)
- Time-varying CAPM:

$$r_t = \alpha_t + b_t r_{M,t} + \varepsilon_t$$
$$\alpha_{t+1} = \alpha_t + u_t^a$$
$$b_{t+1} = b_t + u_t^\beta$$

• But this is a Normal linear State Space Model with:

• 
$$W_t = 0$$
  
•  $Z_t = [1, r_{M,t}]$   
•  $\beta_t = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ b_t \end{pmatrix}$   
•  $D_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

2

- Artificial Neural Network (ANN) very popular in machine learning
- Less popular in time series econometrics, but growing in popularity
- Hence, I provide brief introduction to them
- Cannot easily estimate ANN's in Stata
- ANNs provide valid approximation a huge class of nonlinear functions
- So if you think nonlinearity is likely, but do not know functional form, ANN's can be useful
- Black box method (can be hard to interpret results)
- For forecasting this may not be a problem, but for structural economic analysis can be a problem

 An example of a neural network involving dependent variable *y*t

$$y_t = \alpha \xi_{t-h} + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i G(\xi_{t-h} \beta_i) + \varepsilon_t$$

- $\xi_t$  is some data.
- E.g. ξ<sub>t</sub> = (1, y<sub>t</sub>, y<sub>t-1</sub>, ..., y<sub>t-p+1</sub>) is you want p lags of the dependent variable to be used as predictors
- *h* is forecast horizon.
- E.g. typical to set h = 1 but can set h > 1 for longer forecast horizons
- *G*(.) is the logistic function (other forms possible):

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{1 + exp(x)}$$

- General idea:  $y_t$  is nonlinear function of p lags of  $\xi_t = (1, y_t, y_{t-1}, ..., y_{t-p+1})$
- Why this particular function?
- ANN theory says highly flexible, capable of approximating virtually anything
- So if you do not know function form, can try ANN
- ANN terminology:
- There are *n* "hidden units" in the ANN
- G is "activation function"
- $\xi_t$  are "inputs" that enter the activation function
- β<sub>i</sub> are "connection strengths"
- $\gamma_i$  are "weights" that determine the "output layer"  $(y_t)$

- This is a "univariate single layer feed-forward neural network"
- "Univariate" since one dependent variable
- "Single layer" since can have more layers (see next slide)
- "feed forward" since past information  $(\xi_{t-h})$  on right hand side "feeds forward" in time to predict the left hand side variable
- Neural networks (and associated terminology) inspired by how learning happens in the brain

- Univariate single layer feed-forward neural network very flexible
- But can be made even more flexible by having multiple hidden layers
- Double layer feed-forward neural betwork:

$$y_t = \alpha \xi_{t-h} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \gamma_i G(\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \lambda_{j,i} G(\xi_{t-h} \beta_i)) + \varepsilon_t$$

# Econometric of ANNs

- Use nonlinear least squares methods to estimate unknown parameters in  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  for i = 1, ..., n
- Use information criteria to choose n
- Evaluate information for *n* = 1, then *n* = 2, etc. and choose best one
- If you have different possible choices for G(.) can also use information criteria
- To decide whether single versus double layer can use information criteria, etc.

#### Interpreting Results from ANNs

- When forecasting interpration of parameters is unimportant (all you care is whether forecasts are good or not)
- Econometric techniques will provide estimates of  $\beta_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  for i = 1, ..., n
- · Hard for the economist to directly interpret their meaning
- If  $\xi_t$  contains only a few elements can plot fitted regression line
- E.g. h = 1 and  $\xi_{t-1} = (1, y_{t-1})$  then can plot

$$y_t = \alpha \xi_{t-h} + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i G(\xi_{t-h} \beta_i) + \varepsilon_t$$

for various values of  $y_{t-1}$ 

### Interpreting Results from ANNs

- Put  $y_{t-1}$  on X-axis and fitted value of  $y_t$  on Y-axis
- If an AR(1) model is appropriate then such a plot should be straight line
- With ANN such a plot could be nonlinear
- Manner in which ANN deviates from linearity could be informative to the economist
- E.g. Does ANN plot reveal different value above/below a threshold? This suggests TAR behaviour

• etc.
## Summary

- In many (most?) macro and finance time series evidence of parameter change
- Often need nonlinear time series model to avoid mis-specification
- But what kind of nonlinearity?
- I have gone through variety of models in this lecture
- Abrupt break at specific time: Structural break
- Gradual change over time: TVP-AR (or STAR)
- Switching between regimes: TAR or Markov switching
- ANNs are very flexible approach suitable when nonlinear form is unknown
- Lecture focusses on AR(1) but these models also work with AR(p), regressions, VARs or even volatilities