

NOVA SBE, area of expertise "Sustainability", 2024-25
"Sustainability Evaluation of Policies, Plans and Projects" by FCT NOVA
Assignment scores

Theme	Group	Observations	Grade
Nord Stream 2, Denmark	Francesca Alessia	The report and presentation follow the required structure, providing clear identification of the project and respective impact assessment. The	18
	Giulia Tasso	characterization of the project is well-organized, with an overview of goals, alternatives, and major impacts. The environmental and socioeconomic impacts	18
	Manuel de Freitas Lourenço	are well-covered, with relevant consultation results and decision proposals. The references are comprehensive. However, the presentation has some room for	18
	Maria Inês Borges Conceição	improvement, including the design. Overall, the content is thorough and was well developed. The references are of good quality, including scientific journals	18
	Rodrigo Caetano Sereno	and official sources. The feedback on the presentation and discussion was very positive.	18
Rovuma LNG project, Mozambique	Luísa Maria Catita Porém Machado	The report and presentation are well-organized, clearly following the required structure with detailed sections on the project, major impacts, and	16
	Marta Ricchi	consultation. Graphical elements in the presentation complement the content. Some important formal aspects of the report's organization and grounding	16
	Olimpia Dubini	could be improved (e.g. all sections should be numbered, references and content flow). The references are scientifically sound, but the analysis could benefit	16
	Rafaela Alexandra da Piedade Palácio	from a more thorough exploration of alternative energy options and long-term sustainability. A stronger focus on mitigation strategies and cumulative	16
	Sára Mészáros	impacts would improve the depth. The presentation and discussion had a very positive outcome.	16
Latvian section of the European gauge railway line Rail Baltica	Caroline Afonso	The report and presentation are well-structured, covering essential sections such as project characterization, significant environmental impacts, and	17
	Giles Edward Cook	stakeholder consultation. The content is thorough, with relevant scientific references, especially regarding biodiversity and socio-economic impacts.	17
	Mafalda Sanches Pires Figueiredo Carvalho	However, some mitigation strategies, such as those for noise and vibrations, could be better explored. The presentation is concise but could benefit from	17
	Mirna Magdy Aly Mahmoud Saleh	more a better design and organisation. Overall, the references are up-to-date and provide strong academic support. The discussion was generally very	17
	Precious Adefemi Lawalson	positive, although it lacked balance among the group.	17
HS2: High-Speed Rail Project	Constança de Melo e Castro Pinto Soares	The report and presentation are well-structured, covering the key required sections. The analysis is detailed, with clear environmental and socioeconomic	17
	Dina Slotten	impact discussions. The presentation is concise and very well prepared/designed. References are relevant, but there is a lack of scientific related references,	17
	Jonas Felix Gruhler	and some relevant formal aspects of the report's organization and grounding could be improved. Overall, the content is well presented and organised,	17
	Laura Gomes Garcia	despite greater clarity in the proposed decision justifications and deeper critical evaluation would enhance its quality. The presentation and discussion was	17
	Salvador Caetano Matos	very good.	17
Dublin Airport North Runway	Alina Badura	The report and presentation are well-structured, covering key aspects of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). The organization follows the requested	16
	Esther Noemi Friemond	format, with clear sections on project description, impacts, public consultation, and decision-making. The content of the report and presentation is mainly	16
	Lisa Marie Stanglmeier	focused on the noise impacts, despite also attempting to summarised other impacts (assumed as "non significant impacts"); some sections could be better	16
	Jonas Sebastian Kreft	explored and benefit from a deeper critical analysis. References are of good quality, despite some sections could be better grounded in scientific material. The	16
	Luna Gerda-rosa Schick	decision proposal is well-justified, but further justification could be presented. Overall, a robust and well organised report/presentation, with some areas for	16
HS2 project, a 140 miles high-speed line in the United Kingdom. (https://www.hs2.org.uk/what-is-hs2/)	Leah Elter	The report has an adequate general organization but detailed structure could be improved for readability. The contents are good, with essential information	16
	Luca Tim Schäffer	on virtues and shortcomings of the project: negative impacts on air, soil, contamination risk; positive socio-economic impacts, despite concerns over	16
	Lucie Camille Strebelle	financial problems. Good support on references. Good understanding of risks and benefits, but the importance and effectiveness of mitigation action could	16
	Maximilian Marek	be better balanced and justified. The decision proposal should be more clear regarding what point in time and parts of the overall project it refers to.	16
	Merit Heiliger	Presentation showed knowledge, but slides could be more clear (letter size, maps). Fair discussion, could have more conviction. Missing elements: authors'	16
Vale Sobreirinho Photovoltaic Plant	Inês Sampaio Mariz	The report has an adequate general organization but detailed structure could be improved for readability; no maps or graphics at all in the report. Contents	17
	Marco Pagliacci	describe well the negative impacts on soil, flora and fauna, and positive impacts on the energy system. Difficulty with storage capacity. Restoration is very	17
	NOAH DE FREITAS	important. Questions pending: risk for tourism, reality of employment. Good identification of risks, but superficial discussion of viability of negative	17
	Soren Poulard	impacts mitigation. Good discussion.	17
Nenskra Hydropower Project	Anna Tretter	Report very well organized and well supported. Good description of impacts. Positive impact: renewable energy. Negative impacts: major destruction of	17
	Camila Esmeralda Acosta	forest, migratory fish affected, habitat fragmentation, 80-89 families displaced. Possibility of financial compensation for land loss, but no independent	17
	Giulia Teodoro	oversight of compensation. Good presentation of motivations behind the project. Proposed approval under conditions: biodiversity and ecosystem, long	17
	Leonie Hildegard Friedrich	term monitoring, mitigation of socio-economic impact. Major questions: What is the regional impact, shouldn't a strategic assessment take place? How can	17
	Lucas Jean Olof Cortellini	we adequately assess effectiveness of mitigation? Good discussion.	17
Solar photovoltaic power plant at Eskom Arnot power station from 2015.	Luca Julian Folliguet	Report very well organized and supported. Good presentation, well illustrated and synthetic; maps could be more clear. Negative impacts on flora, fauna and	18
	Natasha Chloé Krause	wetlands. Agriculture potential but the area is a National Key Point for the electric grid. Main goal: clean renewable energy. Some social-economic impact,	19
	Ole Christian Winkler	positive and negative. Alternative 1 better due to less conflict with wetlands. Little community engagement. Positive decision proposal with conditions:	18
	Rita Dray Correia	climate resilience, water usage, construction impacts. Very good discussion, especially Natasha (who also had significant interventions in other discussions).	18
GALPH2Park – Production and storage of 100 MW of green hydrogen (Sines, Portugal)	Inês Isabel Nunes da Costa	Report well organized. Good presentation. Given the level of innovation, independent information sources would be relevant. Good identification of major	16
	Margarida Moreira Seco Pinela Gonçalves	benefits and key conditions, but economic equation not discussed. Benefits of hydrogen: carbon-free, no land conflicts, job creation, economic growth. Key	16
	Patrícia Soares Brito	conditions: electrolysis by renewable energy; local hiring and fair wages; water conservation strategy; proper monitoring. Major questions: How will the	16
	Rita Patrício Maria da Silva	investment be paid for, since we know this technology is more expensive than oil-based hydrogen? Can we have alternative water sources? How can we	16
	Tânia Alexandra Martins Liberato	ensure proper implementation of key conditions? Fair discussion, could have more depth and conviction.	16
(No group or assignment)	Andjela Seatovic		
	Christina Schultheiss		

Professors: Tomás B. Ramos, João Joanaez de Melo
Teaching Assistant: João Loureiro