

#### P&G acquisition of Gillette



"This merger is going to create the greatest consumer products' company in the world"

- Warren Buffet (Gillette's largest shareholder)

# Both Procter & Gamble and Gillette were already major players in the consumer goods' industry

Key numbers in 2004



167 years old

Strong company (+80 countries)

Growing inorganically (16 billion-dollar brands in its portfolio)

**110,000** employees

\$51 billion net sales

"Governed by our Purpose, Values and Principles"

#### **Acquiring**



103 years old

Global company (distribution in **200** countries)

Fast growing (5 billion-dollar brands in its portfolio)

**30,000** employees

\$10 billion net sales

"Culture of Collaboration and Achievement"

## The acquisition proposed by the CEOs was unanimously approved and supported by both companies' Board



Alan G. Lafley CEO of Procter & Gamble 58 years old<sup>1</sup>

- Led the company from 2000, slowing the pace of a restructuring plan that was going too fast, hurting its results
- When P&G resumed growth, Lafley started guiding acquisitions that fit P&G's strategy – \$5.7 billion was the biggest before Gillette

"This combination of two best-in-class consumer products companies, at a time when they both are operating from a position of strength, is a unique opportunity"



James M. Kilts
CEO of The Gillette Company
57 years old<sup>1</sup>

- Joined Gillette in 2001 increasing its earnings with its decisive management style
- Personally benefited from the transaction due to the great number of Gillette's shares retained
- Became Vice Chairman of the Board at P&G

"I believe the consumer product industry needs to consolidate ...I'd rather lead it than end up with the leftovers"

## Gillette offered P&G an opportunity to expand its presence in high-growth beauty and health

### P&G

Gilleffe

Gain access to new markets,

What were both companies looking for?

 Increase growth in personal care business (historically a stable, mature business)

- diversify product portfolio and reap cost savings

  Discussions with another CPG
- Discussions with another CPG company about a business combination in 2002 and 2004 failed

What did they had to offer?

- Experience in acquiring companies, although not the size of Gillette
- Organizational structure suited to major integrations – core centralized units (Global Business Unit, Market Development Organization, Global Business Services)
- Gillette's beauty and health portfolio would expand P&G's growth and improve margins
- "Gillette will accelerate the shift of P&G's business mix toward fastergrowing, higher-margin, more asset-efficient businesses: beauty and health." – 2005 Annual Report

The deal was approached as a merger in order to incorporate the strengths from both companies



**Gileffe** 

Men's grooming category

Complementary portfolio

Complementary geographic footprint

Distinct capabilities

Valuable assets

Women's personal care

- Strong positions in Japan, China and Mexico
- Go-to-market capabilities

 Scale – combine the strengths from the different brands, working as one company to create advantages for all

- Strong position in Brazil and India
- Ability to "lock-in" customers with growing list of features
- High-profit brands

"The merger is a brilliant move strategically"

- Linda Bolton, Oppenheimer & Co.

# However, cultural differences were particularly noticeable in the modes of communicating and deliberating on decisions which could affect the deal



Specialized in the **female consumer** – focus on "what does she want?"



- Slow-moving
- Communications mainly on paper (memo)
- Consensus-driven decision
- Slow, deliberated decision

Principal executive officer in Cincinnati, Ohio



Specialized in male's needs/ purchasing habits

Management culture

- Fast rollout
- Meetings (PPT presentations)
- Hierarchical decision
- Rapid, efficient decision

Principal executive offices in Boston

"The cultural differences were real"

- Bruce Cleverly, Gillette's Oral Care president

### The value of the planned cost savings at the time of the announcement was between \$14 to \$16 billion

#### **Synergies**

**Workforce** 



#### **Description**

Downsizing of ~4% of the combined workforce (~6,000 employees) through:

- Elimination of management overlaps
- Consolidation of business support functions

**Power** 



With a combined portfolio of 21 billion-dollar brands of must-have products, the combined company will increase its negotiation power with retailers for the display space

**Advertising** 



P&G quickly became the nation's largest television advertiser and Gillette spends almost \$1 billion a year on ads – together they should get further savings on advertising purchases

**Scale** 



The merged company was also able to achieve scales savings from: manufacturing, distribution and corporate administrative costs

In order to get the maximum potential value of this acquisition, the deal was approached as a merger

Therefore, it was announced a "field the best team" concept.
This meant a combination of talents, best practices and capabilities from both companies

With this announcement, teams from both companies felt the pressure to prove their value and defend their job.

#### Questions to discuss

If you were P&G's CEO, given the context of both companies, how would you manage the acquisition of Gillette?

In that same situation, how would you manage the differences in order to retain the best talent?

